

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/033,770	Applicant(s) LIU, SHUANG	
	Examiner Michael G. Hartley	Art Unit 1616	

All Participants:

(1) Michael G. Hartley.

(2) Wendy Choi (Appl. repr.).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 19 March 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1-61, especially, 5, 6 and 61

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner suggested canceling claims 1-4 and 7-60 to place the application in condition for allowance for claims 5, 6 and 61, as the amendment to claim 1 removed the elected invention (i.e., the elected species--and thus may have been considered non-responsive) and also did not limit the claims the claims to the elected invention. .